

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 10/767,411 | 01/27/2004 | Gloria Jean Navarre | 8285-669 | 2880 | |
| 83808 AT & T Legal | 7590 01/29/2010 Department - BHGL | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| Attn: Patent Docketing Room 2A-207 | | | KIM, PAUL | | |
| One AT&T W Bedminster, N | | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 2169 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/29/2010 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Pat Reexamination | NAVARRE ET AL. | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 10/767,411 | | | |
| Review | | Art Unit | | |
| Review | PAUL KIM | 2169 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re | quest for Review filed 10 Dec | ember 2009. | | |
| Improper Request – The Request is reason(s): | s improper and a conference | will not be held for th | e following | |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not beel☐ The request does not include reas☐ A proposed amendment is include☐ Other: | sons why a review is appropria | ite. | st. | |
| The time period for filing a response continue mail date of the last Office communication. | | | ppeal or from | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appea held. The application remains under appea is required to submit an appeal brief in act brief will be reset to be one month from me running from the receipt of the notice of a appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1 of the notice of appeal, as applicable. | al because there is at least on cordance with 37 CFR 41.37. ailing this decision, or the bala opeal, whichever is greater. Fu | e actual issue for ap The time period for fi ince of the two-mont urther, the time perio | peal. Applicant ling an appeal h time period d for filing of th | |
| ☑ The panel has determined the strain claim(s) allowed: ☐ Claim(s) objected to: ☐ Claim(s) rejected: ☐ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration | , , | vs: | | |
| Allowable application – A conferer Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on tapplicant at this time. | | | | |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference action will be mailed. No further action is | | | new Office | |
| All participants: | | | | |

(2) <u>PAUL KIM</u>. /Tony Mahmoudi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2169

(1) TONY MAHMOUDI.

(3)SRIRAMA CHANNAVAJJALA.

(4)_____.